

SECRET
(When Filled In)

Approved For Release 2005/05/02 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002300030021-2
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO. P78B04770A002300030021-2 TASK NO.

25X1

TO:

ENGINEERING SECTION/CB/PD/OL

December 1964
INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

June 1964

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

Declass Review by NGA.

TYPE

Applicability of the PERCEPTON to PI

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☒ YES ☐ NO

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS

☒ YES ☐ NO

THE CONTRACTOR WILL COMPLETE TASK WITHIN ALLOTTED TIME

☒ YES ☐ NO

ALL PHASES OF THE TECHNICAL PROGRESS ARE SATISFACTORY

☐ YES ☒ NO

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☐ EXCELLENT

☒ ACCEPTABLE

7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ SUPERIOR

4. ☒ HIGHLY SATISFACTORY

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT ATTACH COMMENTS IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

PADS

SIGNATURE OF APPROVER

Approved For Release 2005/05/02 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002300030021-2

Letter report #20 for September 63 and Letter report #21 for October 63 as well as the semi-annual Status Report for the period ending 1 November 1963, were received this period. All reports were incorrectly mailed. Appropriate individuals at [] were notified.

On 18 December, [] visited this Center and discussed further details of their proposed "Spatial Spectrum Analyzer" with [] was not available to evaluate the proposal, an appointment was made for him and [] on 19 December for this purpose.

On 19 December, [] Extensive discussion of their proposed "Spatial Spectrum Analyzer" followed. A breadboard was demonstrated in their clean room area. The total proposed cost of the system was approximately []

The [] work to date is somewhat disappointing in that relatively little inventiveness and ingenuity is evidenced. A long hard look will be required before a decision on the direction of this research can be rendered.